ACKNOWLEDGEMENT COPY
Sign:.....Date:.....

Bizplus

Monday, 19th October, 2026

His Excellency,
President Bola Ahmed Tinubu, GCFR
The President and Commander-in-Chief of the Armed Forces,
Federal Republic of Nigeria,
State House,
Abuja.

THROUGH:
The Secretary to the Government of the Federation (SGF)
Office of the Secretary to the Government of the Federation (OSGF),
Three Arms Zone,
Abuja.

Received
SGF Registry
20/10/26

Dear Mr. President,

PETITION AGAINST MR. CHUKWUEMEKA TONY UBANI (DIRECTOR OF ENFORCEMENT, HIGH COURT OF THE FCT, ABUJA) FOR CONDUCT RAISING SERIOUS QUESTIONS OF ADMINISTRATIVE INTEGRITY, CANDOUR, ACCOUNTABILITY, AND UNSUITABILITY FOR JUDICIAL APPOINTMENT RE: SUIT NO. FCT/HC/CV/3693/2025 – BIZPLUS CONSULTING SERVICES LTD & ANOR. v. GOVERNMENT OF BENUE STATE & ANOR.

1. INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT AND PREMISE

We, **BIZPLUS CONSULTING SERVICES LTD**, respectfully submit this Petition to your esteemed Office regarding the official conduct, representations, and administrative accountability of Mr. Chukwuemeka Tony Ubani, the Director of Enforcement, High Court of the Federal Capital Territory (FCT). This complaint centers on serious inconsistencies in his official actions concerning the execution of a validly obtained judgment and Garnishee Order Absolute in the aforementioned suit.

This Petition is brought directly before your Excellency primarily because, pursuant to Section 256(2) of the 1999 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, the President is the ultimate constitutional appointing authority for Judges of the FCT High Court. As the subject of this petition is currently being considered for elevation to the bench, it is vital that the appointing authority be fully apprised of administrative actions that fundamentally undermine his suitability for such higher

public trust. We do not invite the Executive Arm of Government to resolve substantive legal controversies or determine the definitive impact of intermediate orders issued by the Court of Appeal. Rather, we respectfully request an administrative intervention and investigation into the conflicting official representations made by the Director of Enforcement in the discharge of his public duties, particularly regarding how his subsequent conduct impacts the administrative integrity of approvals granted within the FCT hierarchy.

2. BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL TIMELINE

1. The Petitioner is the judgment creditor in Suit No. FCT/HC/CV/3693/2025.
2. On 4 December 2025, the High Court of the FCT granted a Garnishee Order Absolute, concluding the garnishee proceedings.
3. On 5 December 2025, a Writ/Warrant of Execution was legally issued by the Court to facilitate enforcement.
4. On 8 December 2025, in subsequent proceedings before the Court of Appeal (Abuja Division), the appellate court ordered parties to "maintain the status quo".
5. The precise legal effect of that status quo order remains an issue for the competent court to settle. Our grievance rests squarely upon the contradictory administrative and security arrangements subsequently handled by the Director of Enforcement.

3. THE ESTABLISHED ADMINISTRATIVE WORKFLOW AND THE CHIEF JUDGE'S APPROVAL

To properly evaluate the gravity of the infraction, we respectfully draw your attention to the mandatory, established administrative workflow governing judgment enforcement within the High Court of the FCT:

- **The Workflow:** The Director of Enforcement must first review the case file and formally recommend it to the Honourable Chief Judge of the FCT for execution clearance.
- **The Prerequisite:** It is only after the Honourable Chief Judge has reviewed the recommendation and appended his formal, written approval to the file that the Director of Enforcement derives the authority to act.
- **The Police Request:** Armed with the Chief Judge's explicit clearance, the Director of Enforcement is then authorized to write

to the Nigeria Police Force to request security assistance and clear the path for execution.

Consequently, there is a clear legal and administrative supposition that in the instant case, the Honourable Chief Judge of the FCT had already duly evaluated the file and appended his formal approval before the Director of Enforcement issued his official letter dated 4th May 2026. The Director was not acting in a vacuum; he was executing a mandate activated by the head of the FCT Judiciary.

4. THE DIRECTOR'S FORMAL REQUEST FOR POLICE ASSISTANCE

Pursuant to the workflow detailed above, Mr. Chukwuemeka Tony Ubani, acting in his official capacity as Director of Enforcement, issued a formal letter to the Commissioner of Police, FCT Command, dated 4th May 2026, captioned: "*REQUEST FOR THE PROVISION OF POLICEMEN TO ASSIST IN ENFORCING JUDGMENT IN THE CASE OF BIZPLUS CONSULTING SERVICES LTD V. THE GOVERNMENT OF BENUE STATE.*"

In this official dispatch (Exhibit A), the Director explicitly and unequivocally represented that:

- He was acting under direct administrative authority.
- There was no pending adverse application or legal impediment militating against the execution of the judgment.
- The enforcement exercise was scheduled to commence on 5th May 2026.

5. THE INTERRUPTED EXECUTION EXERCISE AT UBA PLC

On 4th September 2026, acting on the strength of the subsisting judicial processes, the Chief Judge's presupposed clearance, and the Enforcement Directorate's formal request, officers from the Police Intelligence Response Team (IRT) arrived at the premises of United Bank for Africa Plc (UBA) to execute the order.

During the exercise, UBA Plc resisted execution, presenting the Court of Appeal's status quo order to the Police High Command and asserting that it legally insulated the bank from releasing the judgment sum. Consequently, the enforcement exercise was stood down temporarily. The Police High Command, via the Office of the Principal Staff Officer to the Inspector-General of Police, indicated that the competing legal positions would be evaluated by its legal department.

this petition serves as a critical integrity alert prior to the final exercise of your appointing authority.

The statutory and constitutional expectations dictate that candidates for judicial elevation must possess unimpeachable character, absolute institutional transparency, deep professional ethics, and total adherence to administrative hierarchy. A senior public official whose conduct displays a severe lack of candour, or whose actions hint at the subversion of his own head of court's approvals, is inherently unsuited for elevation to the bench.

We respectfully urge your Excellency, through the Office of the Secretary to the Government of the Federation, to direct a thorough background and administrative integrity check into this matter. An official who displays such volatile administrative consistency cannot be safely entrusted with the sacred adjudicatory duties of a judge.

8. SPECIFIC PRAYERS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS REQUESTED

In light of the foregoing, the Petitioner respectfully prays that your Excellency, through the Office of the Secretary to the Government of the Federation (SGF) and relevant security/administrative agencies, take immediate action to:

1. **Initiate an Independent Administrative Investigation:** Order a comprehensive investigation into the administrative records, correspondence logs, and official conduct of Mr. Chukwuemeka Tony Ubani regarding Suit No. FCT/HC/CV/3693/2025.
2. **Audit the Executive Workflow and Approvals:** Direct an inquiry into the specific enforcement case file to verify the date and terms of the execution approval appended by the Honourable Chief Judge of the FCT and determine whether the Director's subsequent actions aligned with that approval or subverted the judicial hierarchy.
3. **Verify the Legality of Disclaimers to Security Agencies:** Ascertain whether the Director issued any authorized or unauthorized oral or written disclaimers to the Nigeria Police Force [and or UBA PLC officials or Counsel representing the State Government] on or about 4th September 2026, which may have compromised a legitimate enforcement exercise.
4. **Withhold Executive Clearance for Judicial Appointment: Direct that this Petition be formally forwarded to the Department of State Services (DSS) and the National Judicial Council (NJC) to**

ensure that, in your capacity as the appointing authority, the subject officer's security, clearance, and appointment be suspended until these grave administrative contradictions are fully resolved.

5. **Refer for Disciplinary and Institutional Review:** Where the investigation establishes administrative impropriety, duplicity, or ethical misconduct, refer the matter to the appropriate disciplinary bodies, including the Federal Civil Service Commission or the local Judicial Service Committee, for immediate sanctions.

9. CONCLUSION

This Petition is brought without malice, in good faith, and with the sole objective of protecting the sanctity of institutional execution, administrative accountability, and the integrity of the public service. The objective documentary conflict between the established workflow, the official letter of 4th May 2026, and the events of 4th September 2026 demands absolute transparency before an individual is elevated to a position of lifelong judicial trust.

We remain fully committed to providing further documentation or testimony to assist your investigators.

Please accept, Mr. President, the assurances of my highest esteemed regards.

Yours faithfully,

For: **BIZPLUS CONSULTING SERVICES LTD**

Dr. Maurice Ibe, Ksc, MBA, Phd
Group Executive Chairman / CEO

LIST OF ANNEXURES

- **EXHIBIT A:** Copy of the formal letter dated 4 May 2026 signed by Mr. Chukwuemeka Tony Ubani requesting Police assistance.
- **EXHIBIT B:** Copy of the Garnishee Order Absolute dated 4 December 2025.
- **EXHIBIT C:** Copy of the Writ/Warrant of Execution dated 5 December 2025.

cc:

1. **The Chairman,** National Judicial Council (NJC), Supreme Court Complex, Three Arms Zone, Abuja.

ensure that, in your capacity as the appointing authority, the subject officer's security, clearance, and appointment be suspended until these grave administrative contradictions are fully resolved.

5. **Refer for Disciplinary and Institutional Review:** Where the investigation establishes administrative impropriety, duplicity, or ethical misconduct, refer the matter to the appropriate disciplinary bodies, including the Federal Civil Service Commission or the local Judicial Service Committee, for immediate sanctions.

9. CONCLUSION

This Petition is brought without malice, in good faith, and with the sole objective of protecting the sanctity of institutional execution, administrative accountability, and the integrity of the public service. The objective documentary conflict between the established workflow, the official letter of 4th May 2026, and the events of 4th September 2026 demands absolute transparency before an individual is elevated to a position of lifelong judicial trust.

We remain fully committed to providing further documentation or testimony to assist your investigators.

Please accept, Mr. President, the assurances of my highest esteemed regards.

Yours faithfully,

For: **BIZPLUS CONSULTING SERVICES LTD**

Dr. Maurice Ibe, Ksc, MBA, Phd
Group Executive Chairman / CEO

LIST OF ANNEXURES

- **EXHIBIT A:** Copy of the formal letter dated 4 May 2026 signed by Mr. Chukwuemeka Tony Ubani requesting Police assistance.
- **EXHIBIT B:** Copy of the Garnishee Order Absolute dated 4 December 2025.
- **EXHIBIT C:** Copy of the Writ/Warrant of Execution dated 5 December 2025.

cc:

1. **The Chairman,** National Judicial Council (NJC), Supreme Court Complex, Three Arms Zone, Abuja.